

| Site Ref. | Name / Address | Current Use | Notes | Site Area (ha) | Accept / Reject | Reason / Comment | Site Ref. |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------|-----------------|--|-----------|
| TE1 | Mortimer Rise | Garage Courts | Surrounded by residential. Close to town centre, well used but in bad condition | | R | in active use | TE1 |
| TE2 | Damask Close | Yard | Surrounded by residential | 0.232 | A | planning permission for 2 units | TE2 |
| TE3 | Cow Lane | Rear of large garden properties, built out | Surrounded by residential | | R | all been built | TE3 |
| TE4 | Grove Road | Built out for housing | Surrounded by residential | | R | Has been built | TE4 |
| TE5 | Chiltern Way | Green space | Surrounded by residential. Potential tree and amenity issue | | R | trees & amenity, space constraints | TE5 |
| TE6 | Grove Road | Ridgeway Scout Group Hall | Surrounded by residential and garage uses | | R | keep community and social facility | TE6 |
| TE7 | Brook Street / Masery House | Built out for housing | Surrounded by residential and printing works | | R | Built out | TE7 |
| TE8 | Brook Street | Printing works and car park | Surrounded by residential. Gradient and Tree issues | 0.297 | A | large site, car park also large. Potential gradient issue | TE8 |
| TE9 | Shugars Green | Rear gardens | Surrounded by residential and printing works | 0.324 | A | good road frontage subject to design and access | TE9 |
| TE10 | Carrington Place | Garage Courts | Surrounded by residential. Little evidence of use. Overgrown | 0.192 | A | little use and dumping currently occurring. Large site. At least potential to reduce numbers | TE10 |

| Site Ref. | Name / Address | Site Area (ha) | Case Study Applied | Dwelling Capacity | | | Comment | Site Ref. |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|--|-----------|
| | | | | Scenario A | Scenario B | Mid-Point | | |
| TE1 | Mortimer Rise | 0 | | | | | Planning permission for 2 units - small site | TE1 |
| TE2 | Damask Close | 0.232 | | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | | TE2 |
| TE3 | Cow Lane | 0 | | | | | | TE3 |
| TE4 | Grove Road | 0 | | | | | | TE4 |
| TE5 | Chiltern Way | 0 | | | | | | TE5 |
| TE6 | Grove Road | 0 | | | | | | TE6 |
| TE7 | Brook Street / Masery House | 0 | | | | | | TE7 |
| TE8 | Brook Street | 0.297 | 10 | 13.4 | 16.6 | 15.0 | TE8 | |
| TE9 | Shugars Green | 0.324 | 5 | 12.3 | 20.4 | 16.4 | TE9 | |
| TE10 | Carrington Place | 0.192 | 5 | 7.3 | 12.1 | 9.7 | TE10 | |
| TOTAL | | | | 35.0 | 51.1 | 43.1 | | |

| Site Ref. | Name / Address | Site Area (ha) | Case Study Applied | Dwelling Capacity | | | Value | Cost | Accept / Discount | Comment | Site Ref. |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|-------|------|-------------------|--|-----------|
| | | | | Scenario A | Scenario B | Mid-Point | | | | | |
| TE1 | Mortimer Rise | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | TE1 |
| TE2 | Damask Close | 0.232 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | Discount | Damask Close is private road. Fairly new development. Very large houses. Traditional style - flint fronted | TE2 |
| TE3 | Cow Lane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | TE3 |
| TE4 | Grove Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | TE4 |
| TE5 | Chiltern Way | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | TE5 |
| TE6 | Grove Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | TE6 |
| TE7 | Brook Street / Masery House | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | TE7 |
| TE8 | Brook Street | 0.297 | 10 | 13.365 | 16.632 | 14.9985 | M | M | Accept | Fronts main road. Steeply sloping ^ cost, potential contamination issues? (adj gas holder site & evidence of large works around). | TE8 |
| TE9 | Shugars Green | 0.324 | 5 | 12.312 | 20.412 | 16.362 | M | M | Accept | Not really that resi around currently but large site can generate own market, also large green area opposite. Fronts main road - busy. Steeply sloping ^ cost, potential contamination issues? (adj gas holder site & evidence of large works around). | TE9 |
| TE10 | Carrington Place | 0.192 | 5 | 7.296 | 12.096 | 9.696 | L | L | Accept | Approached through large LA estate | TE10 |
| TOTAL | | | | 34.973 | 51.14 | 43.0565 | | | | | |

| Site Ref. | Name / Address | Site Area (ha) | Case Study Applied | To 2006 | | 2006-2011 | | 2011-2016 | | 2016-2021 | | Comment | Site Ref. |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|-----------|
| | | | | Scenario A | Scenario B | Scenario A | Scenario B | Scenario A | Scenario B | Scenario A | Scenario B | | |
| TE1 | Mortimer Rise | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | TE1 |
| TE2 | Damask Close | 0.232 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | TE2 |
| TE3 | Cow Lane | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | TE3 |
| TE4 | Grove Road | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | TE4 |
| TE5 | Chiltern Way | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | TE5 |
| TE6 | Grove Road | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | TE6 |
| TE7 | Brook Street / Masery House | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | TE7 |
| TE8 | Brook Street | 0.297 | 10 | | | | | 13.365 | 16.632 | | | | TE8 |
| TE9 | Shugars Green | 0.324 | 5 | | | | | 12.312 | 20.412 | | | | TE9 |
| TE10 | Carrington Place | 0.192 | 5 | | | | | 7.296 | 12.096 | | | | TE10 |
| TOTAL | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.973 | 49.14 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Mid point | | | | 0 | | 0 | | 41.0565 | | 0 | | | |

| Design Exercise | Scenario A Density |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 41 |
| 2 | 34 |
| 3 | 41 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 38 |
| 6 | 24 |
| 7 | 63 |
| 8 | 32 |
| 9 | 41 |
| 10 | 45 |
| 11 | 37 |
| 12 | 10 |
| 13 | 106 |
| 14 | 33 |
| 15 | 42 |
| 16 | 52 |
| 17 | 36 |
| 18 | 44 |
| 19 | 45 |

| Design Exercise | Scenario B Density |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 120 |
| 2 | 61 |
| 3 | 69 |
| 4 | 9 |
| 5 | 63 |
| 6 | 44 |
| 7 | 105 |
| 8 | 50 |
| 9 | 95 |
| 10 | 56 |
| 11 | 73 |
| 12 | 25 |
| 13 | 142 |
| 14 | 53 |
| 15 | 83 |
| 16 | 72 |
| 17 | 67 |
| 18 | 85 |
| 19 | 180 |

NOTE: THESE ARE THE GROSS DENSITIES GENERATED AND SHOULD BE APPLIED IN ORDER TO REFLECT THE DESIGN WORK PRODUCED. ALTHOUGH SOME OF THESE MAY APPEAR LOW, THE NET DENSITIES GENERATED ARE ALL EQUAL TO OR IN EXCESS OF 30 DU/HA