Name of decision maker: Councillor Neil Harden

Portfolio: Community Services and Public Protection

**Date of Portfolio Holder Decision:** 26 May 2011

#### Olympic / Paralympic / Cultural Grant Requests and Recommendations

## Recommendations made and reasons:

- To list grant requests made in the last quarter
- To note those applications that are refused

# HH (Camelot) Rugby Union FC

Requested: grant of £5,000 Overall value of project: £7,500

Purpose: To create female changing / shower room

# Recommendation: To award a grant of £3,500

Reason: the project embodies the 2012 Olympic / Paralympic spirit

Agree recommendation  $[\sqrt{\ }]$  Request further information  $[\ ]$ 

## **Sapphire Gymnastics Club**

Requested: grant of £5,000

Overall value of project: £14,000

Purpose: Upgrade and Safety Enhancement of Tumble Track

## Recommendation: To award grant of £2,500

Reason: The project embodies the 2012 Olympic / Paralympic spirit

Agree recommendation  $\lceil \sqrt{\rceil}$ Request further information  $\lceil \rceil$ 

#### **Chiltern Canoe Club**

Requested: grant of £4,622.14 Overall value of project: £6,152.64 Purpose: To purchase equipment

#### Recommendation: To award a grant of £5,000

Reason: the project embodies the 2012 Olympic / Paralympic spirit

Agree recommendation  $[\ \ \ \ ]$  Request further information  $[\ \ ]$ 

#### **Flamstead Cricket Club**

Requested: grant of £2,300 Overall value of project: £2,950

Purpose: To purchase bowling machine

# Recommendation: To refuse application as does not fit criteria of grant Reason: the application does not show full policies and procedures in place and does not demonstrate partnership working Agree recommendation Request further information [ ] **Revelation Majorettes** Requested: grant of £5,000 Overall value of project: £3,900 Purpose: To purchase equipment and uniforms Recommendation: To refuse application as does not fit criteria of grant Reason: the project does not evidence increased participation or partnership working Agree recommendation [1] Request further information [ ] **Tring Swimming Club** Requested: grant of £5,000 Overall value of project: £5,000 Purpose: Purchase of starting blocks Recommendation: To refuse application as does not fit criteria of grant Reason: The application does not evidence increased participation nor does it demonstrate project will be available to general community Agree recommendation Request further information **Financial Analysis** Approving the recommendations as laid out in this report will have the following impact: Noted in this **Budget** Unallocated funds % of budget report prior to these committed to date recommendations (including these recommendations)

#### Reports Considered;

Individual grant applications

#### Officers/Councillors/Ward Councillors/Stakeholders Consulted:

£100,000

£56,470

43.50%

Olympic / Paralympic Grants Panel

#### **Monitoring Officer/Chief Financial Officer Comments:**

£11,000

#### Monitoring Officer

The Council makes these grants in exercise of its general well-being powers under section 2 of the Local Government Act 2000.

#### Section 151 Officer

The award of these grants is within budget, and all claims comply with the Council's

application criteria.

#### **Risk Implications:**

There are no specific risks identified that relate to the allocation of funding as described in this report

#### **Value For Money:**

The grant aid criteria looks to gain additional value from supporting community projects with a small amount of resource which yields maximum community benefit. It therefore provides good value for money.

## **Options Considered and reasons for rejection:**

Hemel hempstead Canoe Club - There is an option not to award the grant but this has been rejected as the application meets the Council's criteria.

# **Portfolio Holders Signature:**

**Date:** 19 May 2011

Details of any interests declared and any dispensations given by the Standards Committee:

## For Member Support Officer use only

Date Decision Record Sheet received from portfolio holder: 19 May 2011

Date Decision Published: 26 May 2011 Decision No: PH/027/11

Date of Expiry of Call-In Period: 3 June 2011

Date any Call-In received or decision implemented: