

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

Name of decision maker:	Councillor Colette Wyatt-Lowe
Portfolio:	Residents and Regulatory Services
Date of Portfolio Holder Decision:	

Olympic / Paralympic / Cultural Grant Requests and Recommendations

Recommendations made and reasons:

- To list grant requests made in the last quarter
- To note those applications that are refused

Tring Park Cricket Club

Requested: grant of £3,900

Overall value of project: £8,300

Purpose: To increase number of cricket pitches

Recommendation : To award a grant of £3,900

Reason: The project embodies the 2012 Olympic / Paralympic spirit

Agree recommendation [Y]

Request further information []

Leverstock Green Tennis Club

Requested: grant of £5,000

Overall value of project: £33,300

Purpose: Repair and refurbishment of tennis courts

Recommendation : To refuse application as the amount quoted for work appears to be in excess of the costs as shown in LTA guidelines, this also shows what money should be put into sinking fund. The panel also felt that if the club were unsuccessful with their application to LSG Village Association then the work could not be undertaken.

Agree recommendation [Y]

Request further information []

Hemel Hempstead Amateur Rugby League Football Club

Requested: grant of £3,724

Overall value of project: £4,655

Purpose: Purchase of Multi-Use Maintenance Equipment & Storage Container

Recommendation :To refuse application as the club has large reserves which are not restricted

Agree recommendation [Y]

Request further information []

Financial Analysis

Approving the recommendations as laid out in this report will have the following impact:

	Noted in this report	Budget	Unallocated funds prior to these recommendations	% of budget committed to date (including these recommendations)

	£3,900	£100,000	£65,370	36.0%
--	--------	----------	---------	-------

Reports Considered;

Individual grant applications

Officers/Councillors/Ward Councillors/Stakeholders Consulted:

Olympic / Paralympic Grants Panel

Monitoring Officer/Chief Financial Officer Comments:

Monitoring Officer – No further comments to add to this report

Section 151 Officer – There is sufficient funding available within the approved capital programme to accommodate these payments

Risk Implications:

There are no specific risks identified that relate to the allocation of funding as described in this report

Value For Money:

The grant aid criteria looks to gain additional value from supporting community projects with a small amount of resource which yields maximum community benefit. It therefore provides good value for money.

Options Considered and reasons for rejection:

Tring Park Cricket Club – there was an option not to award the grant but this was rejected as the application met the Council's criteria.

Details of any interests declared and any dispensations given by the Standards Committee:

For Member Support Officer use only

Date Decision Record Sheet received from portfolio holder: 24 November 2010

Date Decision Published: 1 December 2010 Decision No: PH/071/10

Date of Expiry of Call-In Period: 8 December 2010

Date any Call-In received or decision implemented: