

Person ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Person ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Number	Title	Question 1 - Which Map are you commenting on?	Supporting or Objecting - Are you (tick one)	Question 2 - a) Legally Compliant	Question 2 - b) Sound	Question 3 - Do you consider that the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not:	Question 4 - Please give details of why as you consider the Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound because of the proposed changes to the Proposals Map. Please be as precise as possible.	Question 5 - Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to the Proposals Map to make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound.	Question 6 - If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
331221	Mr Martin Hicks					Map 1	East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan	Map 1	Supporting	Yes	Yes				No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
211068	Mr Nick Harper	The Crown Estate	648734	Mr Clive Harridge	AMEC	Map 1	East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan	Map 1	Objecting	Yes	No	a) Justified	The Crown Estate considers that the initial AAP area should be based on a clear boundary such as the M1 and that this would provide sufficient flexibility for any detailed proposals within that area. This is particularly the case as the AAP boundary has not yet been agreed with St. Albans City and District Council. However, it is noted that as the key makes clear, the boundary shown is not the Joint AAP boundary, but the boundary within Dacorum's area. It would be clearer still if this was included in the title of the map, so that the title is "Map 1: Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan Boundary within Dacorum Borough Council's Area". Given that The Crown Estate owns the majority of the land to the east in St. Albans, it is requested that The Crown Estate be closely involved in any joint working between Dacorum and St. Albans to agree the most appropriate boundary in St. Albans for the AAP Area. The text that accompanies the plan should make clear that the boundary of the Joint AAP area in St. Albans has yet to be defined.	As set out in section 4.	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
648745	Ms Trish Carter-Lyons	Hertfordshire County Council				Map 1	East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan	Map 1	Supporting	Yes	Yes		As the Waste Planning Authority the council wishes to make it known that there are a number of Employment Land Areas of Search identified within the Waste Site Allocations Pre-Submission DPD that are located in the Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan Area. These sites have been selected for their waste management potential; in addition existing waste sites are also located within the Area on Map 1. The council would not wish to encourage any proposals that may conflict with any potential waste use within the Employment Land Areas of Search ELAS006, ELAS007, ELAS165 & ELAS168 for waste management uses.	None	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Person ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Person ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Number	Title	Question 1 - Which Map are you commenting on?	Supporting or Objecting - Are you (tick one)	Question 2 - a) Legally Compliant	Question 2 - b) Sound	Question 3 - Do you consider that the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not:	Question 4 - Please give details of why as you consider the Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound because of the proposed changes to the Proposals Map. Please be as precise as possible.	Question 5 - Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to the Proposals Map to make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound.	Question 6 - If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
607346	DEF Dacorum Environmental Forum	Steering Group Dacorum Environmental Forum Waste Group				Map 1	East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan	All	Objecting	No	No	a) Justified; b) Effective; c) Consistent with national policy	(Our comment is entered against Map 1: East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan Area, since comments are not allowed against a more general paragraph.) The Core Strategy Pre-Submission Omissions Consultation includes maps of the East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan (within Dacorum) and the Hemel Hempstead town centre redevelopment area and revised boundaries of conservation areas. Important though these are, the document should also include maps of all proposed revisions to the Green Belt, which are of importance equal to or arguably greater than the maps that are included. As we pointed out in our response to Para. 1.11 of the Pre-Submission Core Strategy 2011 Nov 11, loss of Green Belt is massively damaging to the landscape, and a cause of huge public concern, as evidenced by the fact that In 2000 a 1500-strong petition of Chaulden and Warners End residents opposing loss of Green Belt status was raised by Friends of Shrubhill Common and presented to the Council. We said that for instance, development on the proposed scale at West Hemel Hempstead would be highly visually intrusive across and along the Bulbourne valley, that it was recommended against on landscape	The document should also include maps of all proposed revisions to the Green Belt.	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
503294	Chris Shaw	Senior Network Manager Highways Agency				Map 1	East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan	Map 1	Supporting	Yes	Yes		The Highways Agency considers that the appropriate transport evidence base is in place to support the Core Strategy.		No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Person ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Person ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Number	Title	Question 1 - Which Map are you commenting on?	Supporting or Objecting - Are you (tick one)	Question 2 - a) Legally Compliant	Question 2 - b) Sound	Question 3 - Do you consider that the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not:	Question 4 - Please give details of why as you consider the Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound because of the proposed changes to the Proposals Map. Please be as precise as possible.	Question 5 - Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to the Proposals Map to make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound.	Question 6 - If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
331221	Mr Martin Hicks					Map 2	Hemel Hempstead Town Centre Boundary Amendment	Map 2	Objecting	Yes	No	b) Effective	These comments highlight an issue relating to appropriateness of the boundary amendment because the new boundary of the 'Town Centre' now includes the whole of the 'Paradise Fields' network which includes four grassland fields and other open space. Two fields are a Wildlife Site and the others are ecologically of interest locally and together with a strip of woodland provide a very valuable open space, ecological resource, Wildlife corridor and therefore Green Infrastructure component. This is in complete contrast to the character and function of the remaining Town Centre built and designed environment. Unless this GI role is recognised and protected as an integral component of the Town Centre, there will be considerable objections in due course to any development proposals which destroy or degrade this locally valuable resource. Any loss will require appropriate compensation consistent with the objectives of sustainable Development.	Remove the open fields from the Town Centre proposals map.	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
620322		West Herts College	620319	Ms Alison Tero	Director CBRE	Map 2	Hemel Hempstead Town Centre Boundary Amendment	Map 2	Objecting	No	No	a) Justified; c) Consistent with national policy	WHC notes that the Core Strategy Omissions Consultation Document, as shown on Map 2, proposes to extend the Core Strategy town centre boundary eastwards to include the 'Hospital Zone' which includes the hospital site, the Paradise employment area and the offices, hotel and surgery opposite. The town centre boundary on Map 2 also extends to include Paradise Fields - an area of open space, and Maynard Road. Whilst the Core Strategy states that the Hospital Zone provides opportunities for residential, education, health and business uses with employment areas generally retained for employment it is noted that in PPS4 terms the proposed area to be included within the town centre boundary would now, for retail uses, be located 'edge of centre' as opposed to 'out of centre'. Whilst any retail proposals would still need to demonstrate compliance with the key PPS4 tests (assuming the Council retains the existing Primary Shopping Area defined on the Local Plan Proposals Map) the extension of the town centre boundary eastwards is contrary to the Council's overall approach for focusing retail and town centre development in the Old Town, Gade and Original Marlowes Zones. WHC does not	In order to be considered 'Sound', WHC considers that the eastward extension of Hemel Hempstead's town centre boundary to include the Hospital Zone and Paradise Fields (as shown on Map 2) should be deleted. The proposed town centre boundary is considered contrary to the Pre-Submission Core Strategy objectives for focusing development in the Old Town, Gade Zone and the Original Marlowes Zone. WHC considers that the revision to the town centre boundary is unnecessary for the proposed regeneration of the Hospital Zone; and that further detailed policy could be brought forward by way of the preparation of detailed proposals for the Hospital Zone through the Core Strategy, a Site Allocations DPD or an area masterplan.	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
331221	Mr Martin Hicks					Map 3	Bovingdon Conservation Area amendment	Map 3	Supporting	Yes	Yes				No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Person ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Person ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Number	Title	Question 1 - Which Map are you commenting on?	Supporting or Objecting - Are you (tick one)	Question 2 - a) Legally Compliant	Question 2 - b) Sound	Question 3 - Do you consider that the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not:	Question 4 - Please give details of why as you consider the Core Strategy is not legally compliant or is unsound because of the proposed changes to the Proposals Map. Please be as precise as possible.	Question 5 - Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to the Proposals Map to make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound.	Question 6 - If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
331221	Mr Martin Hicks					Map 4	Chipperfield Conservation Area amendment	Map 4	Supporting	Yes	Yes				No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
211434	Ms Joanne Deacon	Clerk Chipperfield Parish Council				Map 4	Chipperfield Conservation Area amendment	Map 4	Supporting	Yes	Yes				No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
331221	Mr Martin Hicks					Map 5	Chipperfield Conservation Area amendment	Map 5	Objecting	Yes	No	b) Effective	Whilst I support the Conservation Area boundary amendments where shown, I consider that the conservation area should also include the valley bottom and the Frithsden Gardens settlement area further west. The long valley bottom with its thin, linear field seems to be an ancient feature, the far side being a former County boundary. It includes walled gardens and ancillary structures, ancient roadside laid boundary hedge and bank, as well as old properties with significant character towards and at the western end of the valley which ultimately continues north-west towards Ashridge House. There is also a former icehouse just to the south of the road towards the western end, providing this area with another link to Ashridge House. This could also be included.	Include the valley bottom field and Frithsden Gardens, and the ice house, completing the whole 'Frithsden' Conservation Area history, interest and character.	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
331221	Mr Martin Hicks					Map 6	Great Gaddesden Conservation Area amendment	Map 6	Supporting	Yes	Yes				No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
331221	Mr Martin Hicks					Map 7	Nettleden Conservation Area amendment	Map 7	Supporting	Yes	Yes				No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination