Matter 10 Hearing Statement. Jeonette Confield.



18 September 2012

## Attention of: Ian Kemp

We were never informed by the council of their proposed building plans and a survey of all residents (already submitted to council) substantiates this. Response from the council about this was that it was too expensive to send out leaflets that the information was on face book and twitter!!!.. (strange that we have all now received leaflets inviting residents "To have their say on 24<sup>th</sup> Sep/2012 which is rather late in the day.

It is inconceivable that the council would even consider building on Cherry Bounce field <u>much</u> used and <u>much</u> appreciated by many for recreational purposes all year round, from games to cricket to sledging, dog walking and much more Particularly for children in the flats who need the freedom to play without restriction and in a safe environment.

Gadebrige Park together with the town centre are the two places used by people from all areas of Hemel Hempstead. The panoramic views from the land either side of the park are truly uplifting and are accessible to all. The value of this should not be underestimated. We have a duty to protect this for future generations. ONCE GONE LOST FOREVER.

There is also concern about the apparent erosion at the top of Cherry Bounce land. Several houses have had cracks appear over recent years on internal walls. One house adjacent to the field has a crack all the way down the outer brickwork My house insurance policy states that I'm not insured for subsidence. If this development goes ahead and the adjacent properties are further damaged, who will be responsible for the costs?

JM Corfield

Re; Proposed building plans for Cherry Bounce Field.

## 1. NOT POSITIVELY PREPARED.

It has not been <u>objectively assessed</u> and would totally destroy the ecological balance of the area.

#### 2. NOT JUSTIFIED.

Certainly not. There are many more suitable /brown and green fields available. Some were handed over to the DBC by the commission for New Towns and as yet have not been built on. Cheaper option is not always the best value when considering all aspects.

3. EFFECTIVE.

## 4. CONSISTENT WITH NATIONAL POLICY.

#### NO DEFINITELY NOT.

It is not even consistent with DACORUM LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CORE STRATERGY-SUBMISSION STAGE DOCUMENT; see attached

# Dacorum Local Development Framework Core Strategy – Submission Stage

# Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum Appendices

environments and developments; delivering the social, recreational and cultural facilities and services the community needs; providing a sufficient choice of school places; and providing access to open spaces and green spaces.

9. Protecting Green Belt land

Local planning authorities should plan positively to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt. When considering any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green Belt.

- 10. Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change Local planning authorities should adopt proactive strategies to mitigate and adapt to climate change, taking full account of flood risk, coastal change and water supply and demand considerations. Inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk, but where development is necessary, making it safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere.
- 11. Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

In preparing plans to meet development needs, the aim should be to minimise pollution; encourage the effective use of land by re-using land that has been previously developed; plan positively for the creation, protection, enhancement and management of networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure; great weight should be given to conserving landscape and scenic beauty in National Parks, the Broads and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty; the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply where development requiring appropriate assessment under the Birds or Habitats Directives is being considered, planned or determined.

- 12. Conserving and enhancing the historic environment Local planning authorities should set out in their Local Plan a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment.
- 13. Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals Local Plans should identify and include policies for extraction of mineral resource of local and national importance in their area, but should not identify new sites or extensions to existing sites for peat extraction.

17/2

# OPOSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD. OLD TOWN.

(DDR)	POD VIOLEN			18		l <sub>ste</sub>
B		AND WHEN DID YOU	OU FIRST E ABOVE	COMMENTS		SIGNATURE
うりんと		MALLY INFORMAL				4
41	No	YES	will mal	nng to stop. 8	10 houses on	this land
0			Thus July Jor 15 y	d a I have	for many walked my	years on Logo Have
39	No	XES	Samo Ost	the land we price of our hand we spirite.	Mach I. M. I.	20
8.	NoT	AWARL-	being en	of to hear all ected on the am totally work so close	- field orpos	ite from
6	Not	AWARE	No- Yould	ZOKIYC.	M	
A	NoT	AWME	I am sur proposal o my son ac	prised and so of building on dores playing	shocked by fletchers wo	the the
2 minist	NoT	AWAKE-	lam ve	deeply sado	d to Lead	goes aread
9	NOT /	AWARE-	I am on howerf so	Parebook all een anything meen Jam we	the line of about b	Chuck)
3	NOT	ANARE	1 am not	MILLED ON	Ats going	01/

# JPOSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD. OLD TOWN.

		.**	
DDRESS	HOW AND WHEN DID YOU F BECOME AWARE OF THE AL	COMMENTS BOVE	SIGNATURE
13	FORMALLY INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET 22/2/2011		
	* /	Cant belowe	. Their doing
9	No V	Bab News	
2	No.	Read Than	Covery Verus Like It. Sover
8	No V	It would be a bad	3 Million Sur
10	NOT ANAIL	Sorry 1/8 se	en Bell And
12	NOT HWARL-	Look of a vole potrey do not have	
14	of i		
16	out		
1.8	*		

## OPOSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD. OLD TOWN.

**ADDRESS** 

HOW AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST BECOME AWARE OF THE ABOVE COMMENTS

SIGNATURE

COUNCIL

FORMALLY INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET

	22/2/2011	
The webers	V	were closer walked my slog on that green both lands it would spoil the ald Town which is image 9t will be a
52 C HORCH ST. HIGHHILLD 46 551.		BEAUTIFUL. VIEW. OSEFUL RECREATION GROWN, AWAY FROM THE TROUBLES OF RANDALIS PARK DUE TO RECENT PROBLEMS. NATURE WISE THERE ARE FOX + BATU THAT I HAVE BEENBRUNGING MY CHILDREN TO SEE FOR 3 YEARS.
HASNE		It's a great shame 9. have for been walking my dogs here for over 30years. & Pringle

## POSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD. OLD TOWN.

R

COMMENTS **SIGNATURE ODRESS** HOW AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST BECOME AWARE OF THE ABOVE :OISTEK INFORMAL **FORMALLY NEIGHBOURS** IALV COUNCIL LEAFLET 22/2/2011 are just ruining 5 Ranu 001 NOT HWARL NO COMMENT NO COMMENT NOT HWITE

## ROPOSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD. OLD TOWN.

ADDRESS UNIYEAL	BECOME AV	VHEN DID YOU VARE OF THE	
RP	FORMALLY	INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET 22/2/2011	
21	NO	No	wark 2 times daily wall  plus children that love  to play on the field
15	No	V25.	not tappy all the green being taken over with transes.
BOUNCL'	No	No ·	greatly Object to the building of Houses on this piece of land; it Detrices space & owen of Peccuty to the surrouding area; you will sport this -

## OPOSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD. OLD TOWN.

DDRESS		VARE OF THE A		SIGNATURE
	FORMALLY COUNCIL	INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET 22/2/2011		
The weber	7	V	year bett land o it would so ald Town which is unique 9t	or that poil the well be a
52 c Hore HIGHHILLD HP (55)			BEAUTIFUL. VIEW. OSEFUL RECRE AWAY FROM THE TROUBLES OF RAUD DUE TO RECENT PROBLEMS, NATUR ARE FOXS + BATU THAT I HAVE	ATICAL GROUND
			MY CHILDREN TO SEE FOR 3	YEARS.
PENCY 11P25	ITT ROMM		salking my dogs here	nor cuer
# C				

ADDRESS W	HOW AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST BECOME AWARE OF THE ABOVE FORMALLY INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET 22/2/2011	DIOI III CIL
4		
0	WD	
6 N/C	YES	Personally doll think their Green Bill land should be built on Duil Coreland
14	No Y-5	Disappointed about how there is not enough spare to walk the dogs, as I will not be able to go further.
		Navayanan Karolil.
20	*	
18 /	lo No	I cannot believe that they are planning to buil on this land
22	10 YES	this land should not be built or.  9t is a lovely field and is used  by dog-walkers and children and has been for years E. Poll

DDRESS	HOW AND W	HEN DID YOU F	IRST COMMENTS BOVE	SIGNATURE
ó X	FORMALLY COUNCIL	INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET		
03	No	/	Don't want anything there, like to wal the day there	
90	No		You Can't build housing on bounce field. It is outraceous to are porkerpore, and the whole reason to Homes.	for meving
99.	No		your not serious!	Sec. U
97	Nor	AWARK	No Comme NT	
95	No		NOT WANTED	
93	No	No.	NO CONMENT	
49.	NO	de la constantina	SHOOLED.! A	gro 2

ADDRESS		HEN DID YOU I	
10×5×5	FORMALLY COUNCIL	INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET 22/2/2011	
42	NO /	1	Concerned about views + 46 Parking + Access issues 1991 + feet creen bet should not be built on
40	NOT 1	WARE	Not recommended to bailed house
350	No		would Prefer not houses to be built on the field. The kids love the snow on the field Townith
36	No		not want houses on
34	NOT A	WAILK	Totally against it. Loss of view, loss for the green space, would feel the allows. Would be allows!
32	NOTH	WALK-	This is Recreational gound use the every day to take dug out and my Chidien is and a my Chidien is and
3.0	NOTH	WANE-	De would be a post it is a naturally decent ful place D.T. THOMPS Dely.
26°			AWAY
76	NOT A	WARK	The park is a bordiful green space and needs to be protected, it would be a straight of the st

# PROPOSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD. OLD TOWN.

ADDRESS BUKINDED WIJAKK	DECOME A	WHEN DID YOUNG WARE OF THE INFORMAL NEIGHBOU LEAFLET 22/2/2011	IE ABOVE	SIGNATURÉ
	/		Lack of consultanon! It 1	s used
10	110	YES	Lack of consultanon! It I for recreational purposeox	ASnut.
//	No	VES	Astounced you would consider building on the adjacent to the old to by many people clarly	is land
12	No	YES	Tam deeply shocked and appalled of this development. This is a far recreation, which will be entirely some less place to spend quality family	at the prespect
15	No	Yas	Im a carer who looks after a 13 year comes home everyday and goes stoke frein to play football. Also who we work on the freid and soon who we reves Playing on the	reight dawn Endure ado
7			Ekukin- 1- I-li	20000
	1.50			

11			**
DDRESS	BECOME AW	VHEN DID YOU F	
NATK	FORMALLY	INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET 22/2/2011	
1.	X		Heel its a shame to the area W. Buller
2	Υ	V	INFORMATION IN ADVANCE JUST WOOD HAVE BEEN GULF
3	NOT	ANARE	my kids play on the field Mgamett
4	X	V	CONCERN OVER LOOSING PARKAREH COULD BK TRAFFIC PRUBLETIS IMATHS R ROYALS
5	X		Terrible strain on area.
6	×		My child plays on the field, My Mum walks the dog on these. I am experiencing subsidence languy the view from my bedroom window.
7	NO	1	Lack of green spaces for Chudren to Dlay,
8	NOT		9 object! & M. Reeves
9	No	V	Lobject sen strengel to the Roposes works come alee I on the sand the house of house will be sport and the value of the home will decrease. They was was the Roccion whis I bowish this property

# COPOSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD, OLD TOWN.

//			4
ADDRESS	BECOME AV	VHEN DID YOU I	
200 NCE	FORMALLY COUNCIL	INFORMAL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET 22/2/2011	
2/		/	I didn't think they could
	X		pulled on Green Belt & Dedman
25	No	/	NO PLACE TO WALK DOGS (35 YEARS) WOULD DE VESTATED (TIME)
25	×	/	Don't want the houses No face to walle our dog Jranch.
27	NO	/	GREEN BELT LAND, LOSE OF PRIVACY, LOSE OF VICE ROAD ACCESS TO ESTATE, LOSE OF TREE LINE DISRUPTION, VALUE OF PROPERTY, SUBSIDANCE TRAFFIC VOLUME, PROPERTY
29	NO	/	HERY, UNDON HANDED.  HANDTO OFF  GREEN BELD LAND.
31	No	V .	ACAINST THIS COMPLETCH
33			PID NOT WANT TO COMMENT
35	No		Not happy about this. M. Palel.
37	No	/	Informed by a reighbour about the polition P.M. Knight

## PROPOSED PLANS TO BUILD 80 HOUSES ON THE CHERRY BOUNCE FIELD. OLD TOWN.

/			4.	
ADDRESS B	HOW AND WHEN DID YOU BECOME AWARE OF THE A  FORMALLY INFORMAL COUNCIL NEIGHBOURS LEAFLET 22/2/2911	BOVE	COMMENTS	SIGNATURE
/	No.	Remational residents	ground for CINDY U	the NIDENGE
3	y /	NO PROPE	RM TO BE BRRY BOUNCE	BUILT
5.	X /	WE DONT	WANT THE HO	uses fla
7	NOT AWARE	Not nice houses on	the fall one	: 
2	NO /	NOT HAPPY	AT ALL	J-
9		(IN BUT	NOT ITNSW	CAINC)
15	No No	· Not	happ afeil	21 Selmi
17	N.	NOT EN	UNDERSTAND	)
19	X	Not too	Harpfry about	