

**-Representations Objecting to the Proposed Affordable Housing Development
Land Rear of 36-44 Tring Road, Wilstone**

Rep No	Address	Summary of Comments
RO1	Grange Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to position of Plot Number 8. Proposed three bedroom house would have a significant negative visual impact and cause a dramatic loss of light due to overshadowing.
RO2	Grange Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed development will result in loss of sunlight to gardens of 28-30 Grange Road. Suggest that offending house be replaced by two retirement bungalows.
RO3	Grange Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to proposed two-storey house directly behind 28-30 Grange Road. Concern over loss of privacy and sunlight from garden. Increase in traffic and parking in Grange Road will be horrendous. Object to loss of Eucalyptus tree at western end of site. Enough new housing has been provided in Wilstone, unless this new development is strictly for people from the villages of Wilstone, Long Marston, Marsworth and Puttenham. New residents must be compatible with village life.
RO4	Grange Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Totally against proposed development. No local facilities to support increase in population, poor infrastructure means that without a car residents are trapped in the village.
RO5	Rosebarn Lane	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unhappy about proposed development but Aldwyck has come up with good ideas. Pleased that there are no windows will overlook garden. Tree at entrance to site has been dropping branches and needs inspecting.
RO6	Tring Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A compelling argument, based on local need, has not been put forward by Dacorum Borough Council to support this development. Local infrastructure is too weak to support new development. Concern that proposal of eight units on this site risks overdeveloping a small plot of land. Alternative sites in Wilstone should be considered ahead of this site. Already significant problems with parking in Grange Road. Concern about emergency access with increased congestion. Objects to loss of green space within Wilstone and loss of trees on site. Concern over loss of privacy and security. Other properties bordering the site should have the right to purchase the land. Concern about noise and disruption during construction.

RO7	Tring Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Strongly object to proposed development. Alternative sites in Wilstone should be considered ahead of this site. ▪ Suggests land be sold to local residents. ▪ Already problems with parking on Grange Road, proposed parking inadequate. ▪ Houses should be build facing the other way (sideways on to Tring Road) to avoid residents being directly overlooked. ▪ A gap should be left between the rear gardens of the proposed houses and the gardens of the existing properties in Tring Road. ▪ Development will reduce the value of existing properties.
RO8	Tring Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ There is not adequate provision for car parking for new residents and existing residents in area. Suggests fewer houses and more parking. ▪ Concern that safety, particularly of children, is being compromised. ▪ Houses should be kept for people with attachments to the area only.
RO9	Darr's Lane Berkhamsted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Concern that once the access road has been built a planning application will be submitted for adjoining site in private ownership. ▪ Would not have expected such high density on back land development.

**Representations Commenting upon the Proposed Affordable Housing Development
Land Rear of 36-44 Tring Road, Wilstone**

Rep No	Address	Summary of Comments
RC1	Grange Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ On the whole have no objections but are concerned about parking once the garages have been demolished.
RC2	The Green	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Proposed affordable housing for local residents is a good idea, provided there is the demand. ▪ Cottage style design of houses should be pleasing to the eye.
RC3	Tring Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Concerned that proposed grassed areas will be churned up by vehicles. Suggest that additional parking would be better. ▪ A gap should be left between the rear gardens of the proposed houses and the gardens of the existing properties in Tring Road. ▪ New boundary fencing should be at least six-foot high.

RC4	Tring Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Welcomes the fact that part of the proposal is to retain many of the mature trees and that local people from the village will be given priority. ▪ Village is susceptible to flooding. Thames Water and the Environment Agency should be consulted to assess whether the proposed development will exacerbate problems both downstream and upstream. ▪ Local school at Long Marston is oversubscribed, Aldwyck should be asked to make a contribution to increase capacity at the school. ▪ Sewage pumping station becomes overloaded at times of peak flow. Village also suffers from low pressure and power cuts. Local Utility Companies should be consulted to ensure there is sufficient capacity to accommodate the proposed development. ▪ In light of current economic climate it should be re-assessed whether development still makes economic sense, might make more sense to sell the land in order to purchase properties that have already been constructed.
RC5	Tring Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ To ensure adequate security a brick wall should be built behind 38 and 38A Tring Road. Existing wall between garages to rear of 36 and 36A Tring Road should also be rebuilt, to avoid being used as any form of cut through.
RC6	Tring Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ For security and privacy would prefer a brick wall instead of fencing to rear of property. Wall between garages will need to be rebuilt.