

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

Name of Portfolio Holder: Councillor Neil Harden
Portfolio: Residents & Regulatory Services
Date of Background Report: December 2013

Grant Aid Requests and Recommendations – December 2013

Recommendations made and reasons:

To approve grant requests made and recommended by the Grants Panel

A financial position statement is included at the bottom of the report

HERTS & MIDDLESEX WILDLIFE TRUST

Requested: grant of £3,554.00

Overall value of project: £9,274.00

Purpose: Chalk Grassland Volunteers and Visitor Information

Recommendation: To award a grant of 3,554.00 (if Biffa Grant of £5,720 is received)

Reason –The application supports the grant ambitions

Agree recommendation []

Request further information []

BOX MOOR TRUST

Requested: grant of £2,000 plus VAT

Overall value of project: £6,000 plus VAT

Purpose: Planning for a sporting hub and community facility for the future at Camelot Rugby Club and Chaulden Meadows.

Recommendation: To award a grant of £2,000 plus VAT

Reason –The application supports the grant ambitions

Agree recommendation []

Request further information []

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

Financial Analysis

Approving the recommendations a laid out in this report will have the following impact:

	Noted in this report	Unallocated funds prior to these recommendations
Capital Grants	0	£20,000
Grants requiring Portfolio Holder approval	5,554	£28,243
<u>For Information</u> Grants approved under delegated authority to Group Manager (People)	5,778	

Reports considered:

Individual Grant Application Forms

Officers / Councillors / Ward Councillors / Stakeholders Consulted:

Grants Panel

Monitoring Officer Comments:

The award of grants is in exercise of the Council's general power of competence.

Deputy Section 151 Officer:

The total of awarded grants is within the approved budget.

Implications:

Risk: there are no specific risks identified that relate to the allocation of funding as described in this report

Value for Money: the grant aid criteria looks to gain additional value from supporting community projects with a small amount of resource which yields maximum community benefit. It therefore provides good value for money. Many of the projects and activities are directed towards external funding sources to maximise the income to Dacorum

Options Considered and reasons for rejection:

Not to award the grants as detailed - This has been rejected because these grants meet the Council's criteria.

Portfolio Holders Signature:

Date:

Details of any interests declared and any dispensations given by the Standards Committee:

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD SHEET

For Member Support Officer use only	
Date Decision Record Sheet received from portfolio holder:21 January 2014	
Date Decision Published:11 February 2014	Decision No:009
Date of Expiry of Call-In Period:18 February 2014	
Date any Call-In received or decision implemented:	